

SE03/703

NOT AVAILABLE COPY

PA 1003508

REC'D 13 JUN 2003

WIPO

PCT

# THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

TO ALL TO WHOM THESE PRESENTS SHALL COME:

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

May 05, 2003

THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT ANNEXED HERETO IS A TRUE COPY FROM THE RECORDS OF THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE OF THOSE PAPERS OF THE BELOW IDENTIFIED PATENT APPLICATION THAT MET THE REQUIREMENTS TO BE GRANTED A FILING DATE UNDER 35 USC 111.

APPLICATION NUMBER: 60/375,823

FILING DATE: April 29, 2002


**PRIORITY  
DOCUMENT**  
SUBMITTED OR TRANSMITTED IN  
COMPLIANCE WITH RULE 17.1(a) OR (b)

By Authority of the  
COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS



*T. Wallace*  
T. WALLACE  
Certifying Officer

**PROVISIONAL APPLICATION FOR PATENT COVER SHEET**  
This is a request for filing a PROVISIONAL APPLICATION FOR PATENT under 37 CFR 1.53(c).

Docket Number		003300-947		Type a plus sign (+) inside this box		+	
<b>INVENTOR(S)/APPLICANT(S)</b>							
Last Name		First Name		Middle Initial		Residence (City and either State or Foreign Country)	
NYGREN		PER-ÅKE				Ekerö, Sweden	
ÅHLBORG		NIKLAS				Stockholm, Sweden	
<b>TITLE OF THE INVENTION (280 characters max)</b>							
SANDWICH ASSAY AND KIT							
<b>CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS</b>							
Benton S. Duffett, Jr. BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS, L.L.P. P.O. Box 1404 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1404						 <b>21839</b>	
STATE	Virginia		ZIP CODE	22313-1404		COUNTRY	United States of America
<b>ENCLOSED APPLICATION PARTS (check all that apply)</b>							
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Specification Number of Pages <u>23</u>							
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Drawing(s) Number of Sheets <u>8 (Figs. 1 to 4)</u>							
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other (specify) Claims 1 to 38 (6 Pages) and Abstract (1 Page)							
<b>METHOD OF PAYMENT OF FILING FEES FOR THIS PROVISIONAL APPLICATION FOR PATENT (CHECK ONE)</b>							
<input type="checkbox"/> Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR § 1.27.						PROVISIONAL FILING FEE AMOUNT(S)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> A check or money order is enclosed to cover the Provisional filing fees.							
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any deficiency in filing fees or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account Number <u>02-4800</u> . This paper is submitted in duplicate.							
						\$ <input type="checkbox"/> \$80.00 (214)	
						\$ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> \$160.00 (114)	

The invention was made by an agency of the United States Government or under a contract with an agency of the United States Government.

☒ No.

☐ Yes, the name of the U.S. Government agency and the Government contract number are:

Respectfully submitted,

SIGNATURE

*Benton S. Duffett Jr.*

Date April 29, 2002

TYPED or PRINTED NAME Benton S. Duffett, Jr.

Registration No. 22,030  
(if appropriate)

☐

Additional inventors are being named on separately numbered sheets attached hereto

SANDWICH ASSAY AND KITField of the invention

The present invention relates to a sandwich assay method for the detection of a target molecule in a sample, in particular in a sample comprising a complex biological fluid. The invention also concerns a kit for carrying out the assay.

Background

Antibody-based capture immunoassays (e g sandwich immunoassays) are used to detect and quantify a variety of molecules, including immunoglobulins (Ig), hormones, cytokines, complement factors and other molecules found in biological samples, such as human serum or plasma. Briefly, in sandwich immunoassays, a first, "capture", antibody having affinity for the intended target is immobilized to a solid support, and subsequently exposed to a sample. Target molecules present in the sample bind to the capture antibody, and excess sample is removed. The bound target molecules are exposed to a second, "detector", antibody having affinity for the target molecule. After removal of any excess detector antibody, the presence of detector antibody bound to the complex between target and capture antibody is measured using one or more of a variety of known detecting principles. A positive signal is obtained when a detector antibody has bound to a target molecule bound to the capture antibody.

In particular, the use of capture ELISA (Enzyme-Linked ImmunoSorbent Assay) for this purpose is widely spread, which may be explained by the generally high specificity and sensitivity of the assay. A capture immunoassay can be used to quantify virtually any soluble and antigenic molecule having a size sufficient to harbor the binding of a capture antibody and a detection antibody

2005240-02352E09

simultaneously. When used in combination with an amplification system, e g biotinylated detection antibodies and avidin-enzyme conjugates, the sensitivity of such assays may reach a lower detection limit at the picogram/ml level, e g in the case of protein antigens.

However, the use of present capture immunoassays for the detection and quantification of molecules in complex biological fluids, e g human serum or any other biological fluid comprising antibodies, presents problems despite their general usefulness. Thus, it is well known in the art that such complex biological fluids may contain antibodies that are reactive with respect to the antibodies used in immunoassays, giving rise to false positive signals through the cross-linking of capture and detector antibody without the presence of a target molecule. Human samples may contain heterophilic anti-animal Ig antibodies (HAIA) that interfere with the analysis of target molecules in the sample through such cross-linking (Reinsberg, Clin Biochem 29:145 (1996); Kricka, Clin Chem 45:942 (1999); Klee, Arch Pathol Lab Med 124:921 (2000); Kellar et al, Cytometry 45:27 (2001)). HAIA were found in 27-40% of serum from healthy individuals (Boscato and Stuart, Clin Chem 34:27 (1988); Hennig et al, J Immunol Methods 235:71 (2000)), and even higher prevalences have been reported (Kricka, supra). A large number of studies have described false positive signals caused by heterophilic antibodies in immunoassays, including commercial assays used for diagnostic purposes (Hennig et al, supra; Ingels et al, Clin Toxicol 38:343 (2000); Kellar et al, supra).

Because of the problems described above, arising from the use of immunoassays in the analysis of complex biological fluid samples comprising antibodies, several strategies have been explored in order to reduce the interference caused by such antibodies. Thus, addition of excessive irrelevant animal immunoglobulins, removal of human antibodies from human serum, neutralization of HAIA

reactivity by addition of e g mouse anti-human IgM anti-  
 bodies, use of fragmented antibodies (Fab or F(ab')<sub>2</sub>) and  
 use of combinations of antibodies from evolutionary dis-  
 tant species have all been investigated (Reinsberg, *su-*  
 5 *pra*; Kricka, *supra*; Span et al, Int J Biol Markers 15:184  
 (2000); Butler and Cole, Clin Chem 47:1332 (2001)). These  
 methods may be efficient in preventing interference but  
 can falter, thus necessitating additional controls to en-  
 10 sure that interference really is circumvented as intended  
 (Reinsberg, *supra*; Kricka, *supra*). For example, the use  
 of Fab fragments may bypass the risk of interference of  
 Fc-reactive HAIA in a human serum sample. However, since  
 HAIA reactivity with the Fab region has also been identi-  
 15 fied, this approach is unlikely to be sufficient (Hennig  
 et al, *supra*). The economical aspect should also be taken  
 into account, since inclusion of additional antibody re-  
 agents, other than those used for capture and detection,  
 may actually contribute significantly to the assay cost.  
 By using combinations of avian and mammalian antibodies  
 20 the risk of HAIA interference can be drastically reduced  
 (Span et al, *supra*), but that strategy does not permit  
 development of assays with mono-specific reagents.

Thus, there is a need for novel assay methods which  
 obviate problems that have been described above in rela-  
 25 tion to the use of known immunoassay methods in the  
 analysis of samples comprising a complex biological  
 fluid.

#### Summary of the invention

30 It is an object of the present invention to meet  
 this need through the provision of an improved method for  
 sandwich detection of target molecules using affinity  
 ligands.

Another object of the invention is to provide a  
 35 sandwich assay method which is less sensitive to inter-  
 ference from cross-linking antibodies than prior art  
 methods.

Yet another object of the invention is to enable the use of combinations of affinity ligands with different properties in a novel sandwich assay method, in order to optimize the performance of the assay in terms of sensitivity, reduction of interference, robustness or any other parameter of interest.

The foregoing and other objects, apparent to the skilled man from the present disclosure, are met by the invention as claimed. Thus, a sandwich assay method for detecting the presence of a target molecule in a sample comprising a complex biological fluid is provided. The assay method comprises:

- providing a first affinity ligand with affinity for the target molecule, which affinity ligand is capable of being immobilized to a solid support;

- applying the sample in such a way that binding of a target molecule, if present in the sample, to the first affinity ligand is enabled;

- applying a second affinity ligand with affinity for the target molecule, the application enabling binding of the second affinity ligand to the target molecule;

- removing second affinity ligand not bound to target molecule; and

- detecting the presence of the second affinity ligand, such presence being an indicator of the presence of a target molecule in the sample;

the first affinity ligand being immobilized to the solid support at any stage before said detection,

in which method at least one of the first and second affinity ligands is an affinity ligand other than an antibody.

Thus, the present invention is based on the finding that replacement of one or more antibodies by non-antibody affinity ligands in a sandwich assay alleviates or solves the problems with false positive signals in the analysis of samples comprising complex biological fluids. The primary function of the method of the invention is to

20250210 14:04:29

60375823-042902

reduce cross-linking of the first and second affinity ligands by antibodies present in the sample. This is achieved e g through the provision of first and second affinity ligands that are different from each other in terms of structure or origin. However, the invention also makes possible the use of first and second non-antibody affinity ligands that are both of the same type. The problem of cross-linking is then alleviated by the fact that the type of affinity ligand used in the method is not as easily cross-linked by antibodies as the "capture" and "detector" antibodies of prior art sandwich assays, or by the fact that much fewer antibodies able to cross-link these ligands exist in samples. Thus, in the method of the invention, one of the first and second affinity ligands may well be an antibody, but also contemplated is use of affinity ligands other than antibodies as both "capture" and "detector" ligands.

The method of the invention is suitable for the analysis of samples with any concentration of target molecules. However, the advantages of the invention are particularly evident in the case of detection of very low concentrations of target molecule in a sample, i e when the sample may only be diluted to a small extent when carrying out the assay. This is because the problem of cross-linking in samples comprising a complex biological fluid is particularly troublesome in the analysis of complex biological fluids at high concentrations, e g that have not been diluted. Whereas methods of the prior art exhibit interference problems in the analysis of undiluted, or little diluted, samples of complex biological fluids, the method of the invention enables the accurate measuring of target molecule also in such samples, making possible detection of lower concentrations of target molecule. Thus, for example, analysis of rare target molecules in samples of high serum concentrations is made possible through the present invention.

The present invention also provides a kit for carrying out the method described above. The kit comprises:

- a first affinity ligand with affinity for the target molecule and capable of being immobilized to a solid support;

- a second affinity ligand with affinity for the target molecule, the presence of which ligand is detectable;

- a solid support to which the first affinity ligand is capable of being immobilized,

in which kit at least one of the first and second affinity ligands is an affinity ligand other than an antibody.

The kit according to the invention is provided to enable carrying out of the method of the invention. The skilled man will appreciate that various embodiments of the kit are feasible in the light of what is described below in connection with the method of the invention. Thus, for example, possible choices of first and second affinity ligand, as well as of the solid support, are among those listed below.

#### Brief description of the drawings

Figure 1: schematic illustration of the prior art in comparison with the invention, as well as of different embodiments of the invention. A: prior art detection of target molecule by two antibodies; B: prior art cross-linking by HAlA between capture and detector antibodies; C: a non-antibody affinity ligand as second affinity ligand in accordance with the invention; D: a non-antibody affinity ligand as first affinity ligand in accordance with the invention; E: two non-antibody affinity ligands of the same type as first and second affinity ligands in accordance with the invention; and F: two non-antibody affinity ligands of different types as first and second affinity ligands in accordance with the invention.

205240-2285/ED9  
60375827-042902



Figure 2: Sandwich assay analysis of IgA using an Affibody™ (Z<sub>IgA</sub>) and/or polyclonal antibodies (pAb<sub>IgA</sub>). Two-fold serial dilutions of IgA1, IgA2 and IgA1/IgA2 standard were analyzed using combinations of Z<sub>IgA</sub> and/or pAb<sub>IgA</sub> as indicated. The symbols display reactivity with IgA1/IgA2 (circles), IgA1 (squares) and IgA2 (triangles) standard. Note the different concentration ranges of IgA displayed in the graphs.

Figure 3: Human serum antibody interference in sandwich assay systems based on antibodies and/or Affibodies™ displaying non-matched specificities. Capture reagents were incubated with serum at consecutive five-fold dilutions ranging from 5 to 15,625 and the binding of detection reagent was measured. The graphs display combinations of antibodies (A) (according to the prior art) or combinations of Affibodies™ with either antibodies or Affibodies™ (B) (according to the invention). The symbols indicate combinations of reagents as described in Table 1.

Figure 4: Comparison of sandwich assay systems for apolipoprotein A-1 using Z<sub>Apo</sub> and/or specific mAbs. All possible criss-cross combinations of Z<sub>Apo</sub>, mAb110<sub>Apo</sub> and mAb44<sub>Apo</sub> were used to measure apolipoprotein A-1 standard. Shown are all combinations that detected apolipoprotein A-1 at concentrations ranging from 4 pg/ml up to 250 ng/ml.

#### Detailed description of the invention

The invention relates to a sandwich assay method for detection of a target molecule in a sample comprising a complex biological fluid. The target molecule may be any soluble substance or particle (e.g. virus particle) for which it is possible to obtain affinity ligands. For example, in the case of antibody affinity ligands obtained by induction of an antibody response to the target molecule, this means that the target molecule has to be immunogenic. As another example, in the case of affinity

ligands of both immunoglobulin and non-immunoglobulin protein classes obtained by selection from protein libraries by for example phage-display selection technology or other in vitro selection systems, the target molecule must be compatible with such a selection system. Non-limiting examples of possible target molecules are immunoglobulins, hormones, cytokines, complement factors, other serum proteins, enzymes, small molecules, pharmaceuticals, molecules from infectious agents such as bacterial, viral and parasite antigens, tumor antigens, peptides and nucleic acids. In general, any target molecule susceptible for detection with a traditional ELISA or other known sandwich assay is contemplated in the context of the present invention.

The sample that is to be tested for presence of the target molecule comprises a complex biological fluid. In the context of the invention, such a complex biological fluid is defined as one normally associated with the above-mentioned problems with false positives in traditional immunoassays, and thus one that is likely to comprise antibodies of various specificities. Commonly, samples for use in the present invention are drawn from human or animal subjects, and may for example comprise complex biological fluids such as serum, plasma, saliva, whole blood, plasma from plasmapheresis, cerebrospinal fluid, amniotic fluid, urine, semen, cord blood, supernatants from cell culture, cell culture media, exudate or aspirate.

The sandwich assay method of the invention comprises a number of steps, which will in the following be set out in their preferred order of performance. Although some of the steps plainly need to be performed in the order described, this order of performance is not intended as limiting. For example, the removal of excess reagents may be performed at several stages during the execution of the method. Another example regards the immobilization of the first affinity ligand to a solid support, which for

example may be performed prior to carrying out the method of the invention, or performed subsequent to the formation of a complex between the affinity ligands and the target molecule. The person of skill in the art of traditional sandwich immunoassays will be able to establish the preferred protocol in each case without undue experimentation.

The method of the present invention is carried out in the context of a solid support, to which the first affinity ligand is capable of being immobilized. The solid support determines the format of the analysis, and may be chosen freely among suitable such supports. For example, the microtiter plates used as standard for known immunoassays may advantageously also be used in the method of the invention. Microtiter plates for use as support may for reasons of commercial availability have 48, 96 or 384 wells. Examples of other suitable solid supports, on which the sandwich assay method of the invention may be performed, are compact discs comprising microfluidic channel structures; protein array chips; membranes, e g nitrocellulose or PVDF membranes; microparticles, e g paramagnetic or non-paramagnetic beads; pin structures; stick structures, e g dip sticks; sensor surfaces for biosensors, e g sensor chip surfaces for use in surface plasmon resonance instruments; and cell surfaces. Immobilization of the first affinity ligand to such solid supports or substrates may be performed using covalent or non-covalent coupling procedures available for the substrate chosen, and is well within the grasp of the skilled person.

The method of the present invention comprises the step of providing a first affinity ligand with affinity for the target molecule, which affinity ligand is capable of being immobilized to a solid support. In an embodiment of the invention, the first affinity ligand is immobilized to a solid support prior to carrying out of the assay method. For example, the first affinity ligand may be

206240-62854209

immobilized to the inside of at least one of the wells of a microtiter plate, or to any other of the solid support structures mentioned above. However, it is also contemplated that the first affinity ligand be provided in solution. In such a case, the method of the invention comprises immobilizing the first affinity ligand to a solid support during the course of the method, e g subsequent to formation of a tertiary complex between first affinity ligand, target molecule and second affinity ligand. Such an embodiment may utilize a first affinity ligand that comprises one member of a specific binding pair. A solid support is then suitably provided, which has the other member of the pair coupled to its surface. Non-limiting examples of specific binding pairs for use in this manner are covalently introduced groups, such as biotin covalently coupled to the first affinity ligand and avidin or streptavidin coupled to the solid support; and genetically introduced sequences, such as a hexahistidyl (His<sub>6</sub>) sequence for interaction with the solid support through immobilized metal ion affinity chromatography (IMAC) principles of attachment (see e g Nilsson et al, Prot Exp Purif 11:1-16 (1997)). For example, the solid support may then consist of a dip stick, to which tertiary complexes formed may be immobilized through interaction between the first affinity ligand and the surface of the solid support. Thus, any species bound to the first affinity ligand may be "fished out" of solution and detected.

Another step in the method of the invention is the application of sample in such a way that binding of a target molecule, if present in the sample, to the first affinity ligand is enabled. Thus, the sample is applied to the immobilized first affinity ligand, and then incubated at the time, temperature and other conditions necessary for binding between affinity ligand and target molecule to occur. After such incubation, excess sample is preferably removed, e g by washing with a buffer solu-

tion. Through such removal, target molecules not bound to the first affinity ligand are removed.

After binding of target molecule to first affinity ligand has been enabled in the above manner, a second affinity ligand with affinity for the target molecule is applied under circumstances that enable binding of the second affinity ligand to the target molecule. The binding preferably occurs in a way that is non-competitive with respect to the first affinity ligand. The application of the second affinity ligand makes possible the formation of tertiary complexes comprising the first affinity ligand, the target molecule and the second affinity ligand. This will occur only if target molecules are present in the sample. Furthermore, it is likely that not all target molecules in the sample will be bound, the quota of bound target molecules to unbound target molecules depending on the reaction equilibria of the target molecule's binding to the two affinity ligands.

The determination whether target molecules are present in the sample or not depend on the detection of the tertiary complexes formed as described above. In order for this detection to yield the correct results, any species of the assay that are not bound to the immobilized first affinity ligand should be removed prior to detection. In this sense, a species is considered as bound to the first ligand whether it is directly bound, in the manner of the target molecule, or indirectly bound, in the manner of the second affinity ligand. In particular, the method of the invention comprises removing such second affinity ligands that have not bound to target molecules, since the presence of these non-bound second affinity ligands will yield false positive signals during subsequent detection, if not removed.

The sandwich assay method of the invention further comprises detection of the presence of the second affinity ligand, such presence being an indicator of the presence of a target molecule in the sample, since any re-

206240-2285289

maining second affinity ligand is, or was at the time of removal described above, bound to a target molecule. The detection of the second affinity ligand may be performed through any method known in the art. For example, the  
5 second affinity ligand may for example be covalently coupled to a fluorescent marker molecule, to a radiolabel or to an active enzyme the presence of which may be ascertained through addition of substrate. Such coupling is suitably performed prior to use of the second affinity  
10 ligand in the method of the invention. Other means for detection useful in this step of the method of the present invention are sensors, e g for mass detection, which sensors may be based on optical or piezo-electrical detection principles; immunoelectromicroscopy; or the use  
15 of colloidal gold particles for visualization. Alternatively, the second affinity ligand may be detected by a third affinity ligand having affinity for the second affinity ligand. This third ligand, in turn, may then for example be coupled to a known marker or label. Various  
20 other detection means and techniques suitable for adaptation in the method of the present invention are known in the field of known immunoassays, and no undue experimentation is needed for such adaptation by the skilled person. In the case of an assay method according to the invention, which is performed in standard microtiter plates,  
25 it is preferred to use a biotin-labeled second affinity ligand, which is detectable through a streptavidin-enzyme conjugate.

A feature of the method of the present invention is  
30 that at least one of the first and second affinity ligands is an affinity ligand other than an antibody. This ameliorates the problem of cross-linking between the first and second affinity ligands when there is no target present. In this way, e g the interference effects of  
35 HAMA in human samples can be reduced. In an embodiment of the method of the invention, an antibody against the target molecule is used as either first or second affinity

206240-22354503

ligand. Alternatively, both the first and second affinity ligands may be ligands other than antibodies.

In the context of the invention, an affinity ligand other than an antibody is any non-antibody ligand having the capacity to bind the target molecule with a  $K_D$  of at least  $10^{-3}$  M, and to be immobilized to a solid support (if used as first affinity ligand) or to be detected (if used as second affinity ligand).

Such affinity ligands may for example be proteins, which may be naturally occurring proteins, or domains thereof. Alternatively, an affinity ligand for use in the method of the invention may be engineered, using a naturally occurring protein, or domain(s) thereof, as a scaffold (i.e. starting-point structure). Protein engineering may for example be used to obtain libraries of protein variants, from which libraries suitable affinity ligands are subsequently selected. Such libraries may be constructed by combinatorial means, making possible the evolution of highly specific affinity ligands through randomization of a given number of amino acid residues in a scaffold protein. Suitable procedures for isolation of affinity ligands from combinatorial libraries are e.g. phage display technologies and other systems for in vitro selection. These are known to the person of skill in the art, and examples are described in Fitzgerald, Drug Discovery Today 5:253-258 (2000).

Naturally occurring proteins, or domains thereof, for use as affinity ligands in the invention may for example be bacterial receptors or domains thereof. Particularly preferred bacterial receptors are staphylococcal protein A, streptococcal protein G and *Peptostreptococcus magnus* protein L, or domains thereof.

Engineered proteins for use as affinity ligands in the invention may be constructed using as scaffold a protein domain selected from the group consisting of domains of bacterial receptors, fibronectins, protease inhibitors, retinol binding proteins, bilin binding proteins,

60375823-042900

amylase inhibitors, CTLA-4, cytochromes and cellulose binding proteins. As examples of preferred scaffolds, domains from bacterial receptors are mentioned. Of such domains, domains derived from the group consisting of

5 staphylococcal protein A, streptococcal protein G and *Peptostreptococcus magnus* protein L are especially preferred.

Thus, in an embodiment, an affinity ligand for use in the method of the present invention is constructed using any immunoglobulin binding domain of staphylococcal

10 protein A as scaffold. Preferably, this domain is the B domain of staphylococcal protein A, or the Z domain derived therefrom (affinity ligands derived from the Z domain are sometimes referred to as Affibodies™ in the present text, as well as in the literature). In another embodiment, any immunoglobulin binding domain of *Pepto-*

15 *streptococcus magnus* protein L is used as scaffold. In yet another embodiment, any immunoglobulin binding domain of streptococcal protein G is used as scaffold. In yet

20 another embodiment, an albumin binding domain of streptococcal protein G is used as scaffold.

As alternatives to the proteins and protein domains mentioned above, affinity ligands may also be selected from a library of linear peptide variants, or from a library of cyclic peptide variants.

25

Other affinity ligands that are contemplated for use within the ambit of the present invention are oligonucleotides, such as RNA, DNA, or mixed RNA/DNA oligonucleotides. Oligonucleotides with affinity for certain

30 target molecules may be selected from libraries of oligonucleotide variants, in a manner similar to the selection of protein or peptide variants.

Above, the method of the invention has been set out in its most straightforward embodiment, utilizing only

35 one species as first affinity ligand and one species as second affinity ligand. However, the method may be performed using any number of different species as capture

205240-2285709



or detector ligands. As an example, two different, detectable antibodies with different complementary determining regions may be used in combination as the "second affinity ligand" for the detection of bound target molecules, in order for example to enhance the sensitivity of the assay. Another example is the use of two non-antibody ligands of the same or different class with different binding specificities in an analogous manner.

To further explain and illustrate the invention, reference is now made to Fig 1. Fig 1A and 1B illustrate the problem of cross-linking by HAI A in the analysis of a human sample; a signal will be obtained through detection of the detection antibody, regardless of whether the target molecule is (Fig 1A) or is not (Fig 1B) present. Fig 1C illustrates the use of a non-antibody ligand as the second, "detector", affinity ligand. If there is no target molecule available, the non-antibody affinity ligand will not be cross-linked by the HAI A antibody (dotted line). Fig 1D is an illustration of another embodiment of the invention, in which a non-antibody affinity ligand is used as the first affinity ligand, and an antibody as the second affinity ligand. Fig 1E illustrates yet another embodiment of the invention, in which one type of non-antibody affinity ligand is used as both first and second affinity ligand in the method of the invention. Fig 1F illustrates yet another embodiment, in which two different types of non-antibody affinity ligands are used as first and second affinity ligands.

The invention will now be exemplified and further illustrated through the recital of experiments conducted in accordance therewith. The examples are not to be construed as limiting the scope of the invention as claimed.

50375823-042902

ExampleMaterials and methods

## 5 Affibodies™:

The selection by phage display and characterization of the Affibodies™ used in this study has been described earlier. Briefly, using human myeloma IgA, recombinant human apolipoprotein A-1<sub>Milano</sub> or recombinant respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) surface protein G targets, the Z<sub>IgA1</sub> (Rönnmark et al, submitted manuscript (2002)), Z<sub>Apolipo24:4</sub> (Nord et al, Nat Biotechnol 15:772 (1997)) and Z<sub>RSV1</sub> (Hansson et al, Immunotechnology 4:237 (1999)) Affibodies™ were selected by phage display technology from protein libraries constructed through randomization of thirteen surface-located positions in a 6 kDa domain (domain Z), derived from staphylococcal protein A (Nord et al, Protein Eng 8:601 (1995), Nord et al, *supra* (1997)). All three Affibodies™ show approximately micromolar dissociation constants ( $K_D$ ) for their respective targets. Z<sub>IgA1</sub> binds both human IgA<sub>1</sub> and IgA<sub>2</sub> (Rönnmark et al, *supra*). In this study, Z<sub>RSV1</sub>-ABD, (Z<sub>IgA1</sub>)<sub>2</sub>-His<sub>6</sub> or (Z<sub>Apolipo24:4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>-Cys constructs produced in *Escherichia coli* were used, corresponding to monovalent or divalent constructs genetically fused to either a serum albumin binding domain (ABD), a hexahistidyl tag or a C-terminally positioned cysteine residue. Proteins were purified from shake flask cultures by HSA-affinity chromatography (ABD fusions), immobilized metal ion-affinity chromatography (His<sub>6</sub>-fusions) or ion-exchange/reversed phase chromatography (cys fusions). When used as detection reagents, i.e. second affinity ligand, Affibodies™ were biotinylated using a 25-fold molar excess of EZ-Link™ Sulfo-NHS-LC-Biotin NHS-Biotin (Pierce, Rockford, IL). Excess biotinylation reagent was removed by gel filtration using a PD10 column (Amersham Biosciences, Uppsala, Sweden). The 2 constructs used in the study, including tags added to facilitate purification

2005240-22852509

tion, are referred to as  $Z_{RSV}$ ,  $Z_{IGA}$  and  $Z_{Apo}$  throughout this text (Table 1).

#### Antibody reagents:

5 Non-biotinylated as well as biotinylated, affinity purified goat pAb specific for human IgA were used for IgA measurement (Sigma, St Louis, MO). For detection of human apolipoprotein A-1, non-biotinylated and biotinylated mouse mAb44 (IgG2b) and mAb110 (IgG1) were used  
10 (Mabtech, Nacka, Sweden). Other antibodies used to assess false positive signals caused by human serum antibodies were: goat pAb anti-mouse IgG + IgM (Jackson Immuno Research Laboratories Inc, West Grove, PA); biotinylated goat pAb anti-rabbit IgG (Vector, Burlingame, CA); mouse  
15 mAb 1-D1K (IgG1) specific for human interferon-gamma; biotinylated mouse mAb 12.1 (IgG1) specific for human interleukin-4; rat mAb TRFK5 (IgG1) specific for human interleukin-5 and biotinylated rat mAb 12G8 (IgG2a) specific for human interleukin-10 (Mabtech). All antibodies  
20 used are listed in Table 1.

#### Standards and human serum samples:

Three different IgA standards were used, human polyclonal IgA1, human myeloma IgA2 (Calbiochem, San Diego, CA) and human polyclonal IgA1/IgA2 (Sigma) containing  
25 both IgA1 and IgA2. The apolipoprotein A-1 standard used was obtained from Sigma. For the assessment of IgA and apolipoprotein A-1 levels in human serum, a pool of more than 50 sera from healthy blood donors from Austria was  
30 used (PAA Laboratories GmbH, Linz, Austria).

#### Sandwich assays for detection of human IgA:

ELISA plates (Costar 3590; Costar, Cambridge, MA) were incubated overnight at 4°C with phosphate-buffered  
35 saline (PBS) containing the capture reagent, i.e. the first affinity ligand. According to titrations made to yield high specific signals and low background, Affibod-

60375823-042902

ies™ were used at 8 µg/ml, and pAb or mAb at 2 µg/ml. For all incubations, 100 µl of fluid per well was used. The wells were blocked with 200 µl of 1% bovine serum albumin (BSA) in PBS for 1 h at 20°C, and duplicates of serially diluted standard or dilutions of serum sample were added and incubated for 2 h at 20°C. All samples as well as subsequent detection reagents were diluted in PBS containing 0.1% BSA and 0.05% Tween. The wells were emptied and washed 5 times with PBS containing 0.05% Tween, and then incubated with biotinylated detection reagent at concentrations determined by titrations to yield a high signal-to-noise ratio; Affibody™ and mAb reagents were used at 1 µg/ml and pAb reagents at 0.5 µg/ml. Following an incubation of 1 h at 20°C, the wells were washed and 50 ng/ml of streptavidin-alkaline phosphatase conjugate (Mabtech) was added. Finally, 100 µl of p-nitrophenyl phosphate (Sigma) buffer was added to each well and the plates were read at 405 nm (Molecular Device, Menlo Park, CA) after approximately 1 h. The level of background for each combination of capture and detection reagents was assessed using buffer only. The lower detection level of each system was determined as the lowest standard concentration giving an absorbance value two times the background level of the system. The concentration of analyte in a pool of human sera was quantified by analysis of diluted serum samples and subsequent comparison to a protein standard curve created with a four-parameter Rodbard function (SoftMaxPro; Molecular Device).

30 Analysis of false positive signals caused by human serum antibodies in sandwich assays:

In principle, the same protocol as above was used. Affibody™, mAb or pAb were adsorbed to ELISA plates as above, and after blocking and washing, consecutive five-fold dilutions of a human serum pool were added and incubated. Biotinylated Affibody™, mAb or pAb displaying non-matched specificities were subsequently used to assess

205240-2285/EO9  
60375823-042902

the level of false positive signals. The absorbance signal caused by human serum antibodies was estimated by subtracting the background absorbance of the system (buffer only) from the absorbance obtained with the serum samples. In order to compare results from different experiments, internal standards were included in each experiment.

Table 1. Affibodies™ and antibodies used in the study

Reagent	Origin	Specificity	Label	Designation
Affibody™	bacteria	human IgA	-	Z <sub>IgA</sub>
	bacteria	human IgA	biotin	Z <sub>IgA</sub> -b
	bacteria	human apolipoprotein A-1	-	Z <sub>Apo</sub>
	bacteria	human apolipoprotein A-1	biotin	Z <sub>Apo</sub> -b
	bacteria	RSV	-	Z <sub>RSV</sub>
PAb	goat	human IgA	-	pAb <sub>IgA</sub>
	goat	human IgA	biotin	pAb <sub>IgA</sub> -b
	goat	mouse IgG+IgM	-	pAb <sub>μIg</sub>
	goat	rabbit IgG	biotin	pAb <sub>RIgG</sub> -b
MAb	mouse	human apolipoprotein A-1	-	mAb110 <sub>Apo</sub>
	mouse	human apolipoprotein A-1	biotin	mAb110 <sub>Apo</sub> -b
	mouse	human apolipoprotein A-1	-	mAb44 <sub>Apo</sub>
	mouse	human apolipoprotein A-1	biotin	mAb44 <sub>Apo</sub> -b
	mouse	human interferon-gamma	-	mmAb <sub>IFN</sub>
	mouse	human interleukin-4	biotin	mmAb <sub>IL-4</sub> -b
	rat	human interleukin-5	-	rmAb <sub>IL-5</sub>
	rat	human interleukin-10	biotin	rmAb <sub>IL-10</sub> -b

## Results

Analysis of IgA standards and human serum IgA levels using a sandwich assay based on polyclonal antibodies and/or Affibodies™:

An Affibody™ capable of selective recognition of human IgA was used as an alternative to antibody reagents in accordance with the invention. The Affibody™  $Z_{IgA}$  was previously selected from a protein library constructed by combinatorial engineering of a single staphylococcal protein A domain, and shown to bind human IgA1 and IgA2 with equal affinity (Rönmark et al, supra). Criss-cross capture and detection combinations of  $Z_{IgA}$  and IgA-specific goat pAb ( $pAb_{IgA}$ ) were analyzed for reactivity with the IgA1, IgA2 and IgA1/IgA2 standards. As a comparative example using a known method, the ELISA format using pAb for capture as well as detection ( $pAb_{IgA}/pAb_{IgA}$ -b) displayed a comparable reactivity with the different standards (Fig 2A) and a lower detection limit of the IgA standards between 62 and 125 pg/ml (Table 2). Interestingly, the combination of  $Z_{IgA}$  and  $pAb_{IgA}$ -b according to the invention was fully comparable both in terms of reactivity with the different standards (Fig 2B) and the lower detection limits (Table 2). The reverse combination ( $pAb_{IgA}/Z_{IgA}$ -b) displayed a lower reactivity with all three standards, and even less sensitive was detection based on  $Z_{IgA}/Z_{IgA}$ -b (Fig 2C & D). For these latter formats, the lowest concentrations of IgA standards detectable were 4 and 200-800 ng/ml, respectively (Table 2).

The different systems were subsequently used to quantify IgA in a pool of human sera using serum dilutions adjusted according to the detection range of each system. Analysis of serum diluted  $3 \times 10^5$  times using  $pAb_{IgA}/pAb_{IgA}$ -b (comparative example) and  $Z_{IgA}/pAb_{IgA}$ -b (according to the invention) yielded IgA levels of 2.7 and 3.0 mg/ml, respectively (Table 2). Determination using  $pAb_{IgA}/Z_{IgA}$ -b (according to the invention) resulted in an

- IgA concentration of 2.2 mg/ml using serum samples diluted  $1 \times 10^4$  or  $1 \times 10^5$  times for the analysis. Analysis with the less sensitive format  $Z_{IgA}/Z_{IgA-b}$  (according to the invention) using serum diluted 500 times gave an IgA concentration of 4.8 mg/ml.

- Taken together, these analyses showed that the non-immunoglobulin affinity ligand  $Z_{IgA}$  could be used in conjunction with a pAb reagent in a sandwich assay method for specific target quantification and that the use of this Affibody™ as capture reagent, i.e. first affinity ligand, was the preferable format.

Table 2. Comparison of human IgA sandwich assays based on an Affibody™ ( $Z_{IgA}$ ) and/or polyclonal antibodies ( $pAb_{IgA}$ )

Assay system		Lower detection limit for IgA standard <sup>a</sup>			Determination of serum IgA <sup>b</sup>
Coating	Detection	IgA1/IgA2 (ng/ml)	IgA1 (ng/ml)	IgA2 (ng/ml)	(mg/ml)
$pAb_{IgA}$	$pAb_{IgA-b}$	0.062	0.062	0.12	2.7
$Z_{IgA}$	$pAb_{IgA-b}$	0.062	0.062	0.12	3.0
$pAb_{IgA}$	$Z_{IgA-b}$	4.0	4.0	4.0	2.2
$Z_{IgA}$	$Z_{IgA-b}$	800	200	400	4.8

<sup>a</sup> Serial two-fold dilutions of IgA standards were analyzed in each system. The lower detection limit was defined as the lowest concentration giving an absorbance twice the background of each system.

<sup>b</sup> A serum pool was analyzed at dilutions adjusted according to the sensitivity of each system and the IgA levels quantified by comparison to an IgA1/IgA2 standard. The serum IgA concentration was determined by multiplying the IgA level with the dilution factor.

- False positive signals caused by human serum antibodies in sandwich assay methods:

According to the invention, the bacterial origin of the  $Z_{IgA}$  affinity reagent addresses the problem of false positive signals associated with human serum antibodies

in capture immunoassays, through the use of Affi-  
body™/antibody reagent combinations in a sandwich assay  
method. By combining reagents displaying non-matched  
specificities, the signals observed with each system af-  
5 ter incubation with serum could be linked to the presence  
of cross-linking antibodies. All combinations of two an-  
tibodies (goat pAb, mouse mAb and/or rat mAb) resulted in  
different levels of cross-linking, in some cases also af-  
10 ter diluting the serum pool 3,125 times (Fig 3A). In con-  
trast, none of the combinations of antibodies for capture  
and Affibodies™ for detection, or vice versa, were cross-  
linked (Fig 3B). Noteworthy, although although antibody  
reactivity with non-modified framework regions of the *S.*  
15 *aureus* protein A-derived Affibodies™ could be expected as  
a result of natural exposure to bacteria, the combination  
of two Affibodies™ displaying different specificities  
(Z<sub>RSV</sub> and Z<sub>Apo-b</sub>) were not cross-linked by human sera (Fig  
3B).

20

Measurement of human apolipoprotein A-1 using a sandwich  
assay method based on Affibody™ and/or monoclonal anti-  
bodies:

A second assay system based on Affibody™ and mAb was  
25 evaluated (Fig 4). In this case, the analyte, a non-Ig  
molecule (apolipoprotein A-1) was measured using the Af-  
fibody™ Z<sub>Apo</sub> in combination with specific mAbs. When  
comparing the ability of combinations of Z<sub>Apo</sub>, mAb110<sub>Apo</sub>  
and mAb44<sub>Apo</sub> to detect apolipoprotein A-1 standard,  
30 mAb110<sub>Apo</sub> and mAb44<sub>Apo-b</sub> displayed the highest sensitivity.  
The combinations using Z<sub>Apo</sub> for capture and either mAb for  
detection also detected apolipoprotein A-1, albeit with  
lower sensitivity. All functional combinations of  
reagents were used to quantify apolipoprotein A-1 in a  
35 pool of human sera diluted according to the sensitivity  
of each system (Table 3). Appropriate absorbance signals  
in each system were obtained using serum diluted 1-  
3 x 10<sup>6</sup> times for mAb110<sub>Apo</sub>/mAb44<sub>Apo-b</sub>, 1-3 x 10<sup>5</sup> times for

60375823-042902



mAb110<sub>Apo</sub>/mAb44<sub>Apo</sub>-b, 1-3 x 10<sup>5</sup> times for the other systems. The serum concentrations of apolipoprotein A-1 determined were 0.8-1.7 mg/ml using different combinations of Z<sub>Apo</sub> and/or mAbs.

5

Table 3. Comparison of human apolipoprotein A-1 sandwich assay systems based on an Affibody™ (Z<sub>Apo</sub>) and/or monoclonal antibodies (mAb44<sub>Apo</sub> and mAb110<sub>Apo</sub>)

Assay system		Lower detection limit of apolipo- protein A-1 stan- dard <sup>a</sup>	Serum levels of apolipro- tein A-1 <sup>b</sup>
Coating	Detection	ng/ml	mg/ml
mAb110 <sub>Apo</sub>	mAb44 <sub>Apo</sub> -b	0.062	0.8
mAb44 <sub>Apo</sub>	mAb110 <sub>Apo</sub> -b	2.0	1.3
Z <sub>Apo</sub>	mAb110 <sub>Apo</sub> -b	0.49	1.6
Z <sub>Apo</sub>	mAb44 <sub>Apo</sub> -b	0.49	1.7
Z <sub>Apo</sub>	mAb44 <sub>Apo</sub> -b & mAb110 <sub>Apo</sub> -b	0.49	1.7

<sup>a</sup> Serial two-fold dilutions of apolipoprotein A-1 standard were analyzed in each system. The lower detection limit was defined as the lowest concentration giving an absorbance twice the background of each system.

<sup>b</sup> A serum pool was analyzed at dilutions adjusted according to the sensitivity of each system and the apolipoprotein A-1 levels quantified by comparison to a standard. The serum apolipoprotein A-1 concentration was determined by multiplying the determined levels with the dilution factor.

2005240-22854209

## CLAIMS

1. Sandwich assay method for detecting the presence of a target molecule in a sample comprising a complex biological fluid, which assay comprises:

- providing a first affinity ligand with affinity for the target molecule, which affinity ligand is capable of being immobilized to a solid support;
  - applying the sample in such a way that binding of a target molecule, if present in the sample, to the first affinity ligand is enabled;
  - applying a second affinity ligand with affinity for the target molecule, the application enabling binding of the second affinity ligand to the target molecule;
  - removing second affinity ligand not bound to target molecule; and
  - detecting the presence of the second affinity ligand, such presence being an indicator of the presence of a target molecule in the sample;
- the first affinity ligand being immobilized to the solid support at any stage before said detection, in which method at least one of the first and second affinity ligands is an affinity ligand other than an antibody.

2. Sandwich assay method according to claim 1, in which the first affinity ligand is provided immobilized to the solid support.

3. Sandwich assay method according to claim 1, in which the first affinity ligand is immobilized to the solid support during performance of the method.

4. Sandwich assay method according to any one of claims 2 and 3, in which the solid support is selected from microtiter plates; compact discs comprising microfluidic channels; protein array chips; membranes; mi-

60375823 042902

croparticles; pin structures; stick structures; sensor surfaces; and cell surfaces.

5 5. Sandwich assay method according to claim 4, in which the solid support is a microtiter plate.

10 6. Sandwich assay method according to any one of the preceding claims, which further comprises removing target molecules not bound to the first affinity ligand.

7. Sandwich assay method according to any one of the preceding claims, in which the second affinity ligand is an affinity ligand other than an antibody.

15 8. Sandwich assay method according to any one of the preceding claims, in which the first affinity ligand is an affinity ligand other than an antibody.

20 9. Sandwich assay method according to any one of the preceding claims, in which both the first and the second affinity ligand is an affinity ligand other than an antibody.

25 10. Sandwich assay method according to any one of the preceding claims, in which at least one of the first and second affinity ligands is a naturally occurring protein, or a domain thereof.

30 11. Sandwich assay method according to claim 10, in which at least one of the first and second affinity ligands is a naturally occurring bacterial receptor, or a domain thereof.

35 12. Sandwich assay method according to claim 11, in which the naturally occurring bacterial receptor, or domain thereof, is chosen from staphylococcal protein A,

50375822.042902

streptococcal protein G and *Peptostreptococcus magnus* protein L, and domains thereof.

13. Sandwich assay method according to any one of the preceding claims, in which at least one of the first and second affinity ligands is a protein which has been selected from a protein library constructed by combinatorial protein engineering.

14. Sandwich assay method according to any one of the preceding claims, in which at least one of the first and second affinity ligands is an engineered protein, constructed by using as scaffold a protein domain selected from domains of bacterial receptors; fibronectins; protease inhibitors; retinol binding proteins; bilin binding proteins; amylase inhibitors; CTLA-4; cytochromes; and cellulose binding proteins.

15. Sandwich assay method according to claim 14, in which the scaffold is selected from bacterial receptor domains.

16. Sandwich assay method according to claim 15, in which the scaffold is selected from the immunoglobulin binding domains of staphylococcal protein A.

17. Sandwich assay method according to claim 16, in which the scaffold is the B domain of staphylococcal protein A.

18. Sandwich assay method according to claim 16, in which the scaffold is the Z domain derived from the B domain of staphylococcal protein A.

19. Sandwich assay method according to claim 14, in which the scaffold is selected from the immunoglobulin binding domains of *Peptostreptococcus magnus* protein L.

60375823-042902

20. Sandwich assay method according to claim 14, in which the scaffold is selected from the immunoglobulin binding domains of streptococcal protein G.

5

21. Sandwich assay method according to claim 14, in which the scaffold is selected from the albumin binding domains of streptococcal protein G.

10

22. Sandwich assay method according to any one of claims 14-21, in which the engineered protein used as affinity ligand is selected from a library of variants of the scaffold used.

15

23. Sandwich assay method according to claim 22, in which the library is a combinatorial library.

20

24. Sandwich assay method according to any one of claims 22-23, in which the library is constructed using phage display technology.

25

25. Sandwich assay method according to any one of the preceding claims, in which at least one of the first and second affinity ligands is derived from a library of linear peptides.

30

26. Sandwich assay method according to any one of the preceding claims, in which at least one of the first and second affinity ligands is derived from a library of cyclic peptides.

35

27. Sandwich assay method according to any one of the preceding claims, in which at least one of the first and second affinity ligands is an oligonucleotide.

28. Sandwich assay method according claim 27, in which the oligonucleotide is an RNA oligonucleotide.

29. Sandwich assay method according claim 26, in which the oligonucleotide is a DNA oligonucleotide.

5 30. Sandwich assay method according claim 26, in which the oligonucleotide is an oligonucleotide comprising a mixture of RNA and DNA.

10 31. Sandwich assay method according to any one of claims 28-30, in which the oligonucleotide is selected from a library of oligonucleotide variants.

15 32. Sandwich assay method according to any one of the preceding claims, in which the complex biological fluid is selected from serum, plasma, saliva, whole blood, plasma from plasmapheresis, cerebrospinal fluid, amniotic fluid, urine, semen, cord blood, supernatants from cell culture, cell culture media, exsudate and aspirate.

20 33. Sandwich assay method according to any one of the preceding claims, in which the sample is a human sample.

25 34. Sandwich assay method according to claim 33, in which the sample is a human serum sample.

30 35. Kit for use in a method according to any one of the preceding claims, which kit comprises:

- a first affinity ligand with affinity for the target molecule and capable of being immobilized to a solid support;

35 - a second affinity ligand with affinity for the target molecule, the presence of which ligand is detectable;

- a solid support to which the first affinity ligand is capable of being immobilized,

60375823-042902

in which kit at least one of the first and second affinity ligands is an affinity ligand other than an antibody.

5        36. Kit according to claim 35, in which kit the solid support is as defined in any one of claims 4-5.

10       37. Kit according to any one of claims 35-36, in which kit the first and second affinity ligands are as defined in any one of claims 7-31.

15       38. Kit according to any one of claims 35-37, the method in which said kit is used being performed on a sample as defined in any one of claims 32-34.

206240-12854ED9

## ABSTRACT

60375823-042902

A sandwich assay method for detecting the presence of a target molecule in a sample comprising a complex biological fluid is provided. The assay comprises providing a first affinity ligand with affinity for the target molecule, which affinity ligand is capable of being immobilized to a solid support; applying the sample in such a way that binding of a target molecule, if present in the sample, to the first affinity ligand is enabled; applying a second affinity ligand with affinity for the target molecule, the application enabling binding of the second affinity ligand to the target molecule; removing second affinity ligand not bound to target molecule; and detecting the presence of the second affinity ligand, such presence being an indicator of the presence of a target molecule in the sample. The first affinity ligand is immobilized to the solid support at any stage before said detection, and at least one of the first and second affinity ligands is an affinity ligand other than an antibody.

The invention also provides a kit for use in the method. The kit comprises: a first affinity ligand with affinity for the target molecule and capable of being immobilized to a solid support; a second affinity ligand with affinity for the target molecule, the presence of which ligand is detectable; and a solid support to which the first affinity ligand is capable of being immobilized. In the kit, at least one of the first and second affinity ligands is an affinity ligand other than an antibody.



206240-E2857209




Figure 1




Figure 2A – PRIOR ART




Figure 2B




Figure 2C

20162407 E2854E09




Figure 2D

6/8




Figure 3A – PRIOR ART

205240" E2B54E09

7/8




Figure 3B




Figure 4



**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning  
Operations and is not part of the Official Record**

**BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES**

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

- ☐ **BLACK BORDERS**
- ☐ **IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES**
- ☐ **FADED TEXT OR DRAWING**
- ☐ **BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING**
- ☐ **SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES**
- ☐ **COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS**
- ☐ **GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS**
- ☐ **LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT**
- ☐ **REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY**
- ☐ **OTHER: \_\_\_\_\_**

**IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.**

**As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.**